arXiv:1504.03528v1 [math.PR] 14 Apr 2015

WEAK HARNACK INEQUALITY AND HÖLDER REGULARITY FOR SYMMETRIC STABLE LÉVY PROCESSES

MARINA SERTIC

Abstract. In this paper we consider weak Harnack inequality and Hölder regularity estimates for symmetric α-stable Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\alpha \in (0,2)$, $d \geq 2$. We consider a symmetric α-stable Lévy process X for which a spherical part μ of the Lévy measure is a spectral measure. In addition, we assume that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the uniform measure σ on the sphere and impose certain bounds on the corresponding density. Eventually, we show that the weak Harnack inequality holds, which we apply to prove Hölder regularity results.

Acknowledgment. The author is thankful to Bartłomiej Dyda and Moritz Kassmann for valuable comments.

1. Introduction

In the paper, we use the notation

$$B(x_0, r) = \{x : |x - x_0| \leq r\}, r \geq 0.$$

We consider *a symmetric α-stable Lévy process X*, which has the characteristic function of the form

$$\mathbb{E}^0[e^{iu\cdot X_t}] = e^{-t\Phi(u)}, u \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ t \geq 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where the characteristic exponent Φ is given by

$$\Phi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |u \cdot \xi|^\alpha \mu(\mathrm{d}\xi). \tag{1.2}$$

The measure μ is symmetric, finite and non-zero on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ (see [6], Theorem 14.13). Let the measure μ be absolutely continuous with respect to the uniform surface measure on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and denote its density by f_μ.

The potential density $p(t, x, y) = p(t, y - x)$ is determined by the Fourier transform

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi\cdot x}\ p(t, x)\, \mathrm{d}x = e^{-t\Phi(\xi)},\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ t \geq 0.$$

Definition 1.1. *The Green function* is defined by

$$G(x, y) = \int_0^\infty p(t, x, y)\, \mathrm{d}t,\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.2. Let D be an open set, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. *The Green function of X^D* is defined by

$$G_D(x, y) = G(x, y) - \mathbb{E}^x[G(X_{\tau_D}, y)],\ x, y \in D. \tag{1.4}$$

The author appreciates the support of the International Graduate College "Stochastics and Real World Models", Universität Bielefeld.

Definition 1.3. A measure λ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called *degenerate* if there is a proper linear subspace M of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $Spt(\lambda) \subset M$, where $Spt(\lambda)$ denotes the support of the measure λ.

A measure λ is called *non-degenerate* if it is not degenerate.

Definition 1.4. A measure μ on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is called a *spectral measure* if it is positive, finite, non-degenerate and symmetric.

2. Weak Harnack Inequality

In comparison to [7], here we show the weak Harnack inequality for X, where a function u is bounded, but may be non-negative. The definition follows.

Definition 2.1. *The weak Harnack inequality* for a symmetric α-stable Lévy processes X holds if there is a constant $c = c(\alpha, d)$ such that for every bounded function u on $\mathbb{R}^d$, which is harmonic in $B_r(x_0)$ with respect to X, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, 0 < r \leq r_0$, and non-negative on $B_r(x_0)$, the inequality

$$\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} u(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \leq c \inf_{B_{r/\theta}(x_0)} u + c \sup_{z \in B_{r/\sigma}(x_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^-(y) f_\nu(y-z)\,\mathrm{d}y \tag{2.1}$$

holds, where $\theta > \lambda > 1, 2\theta > \sigma > 1$.

We prove the following result.

Theorem 2.2 (Weak Harnack Inequality)**.** *Let X be a symmetric α-stable Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, with index of stability $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and the characteristic function of the form*

$$\mathbb{E}^0 e^{iu\cdot X_t} = e^{-t\Phi(u)}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t \geq 0,$$

where the characteristic exponent is given by

$$\Phi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |u \cdot \xi|^\alpha \mu(\mathrm{d}\xi),$$

and μ is a spectral measure. Furthermore, let μ be absolutely continuous with respect to the uniform measure σ on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and denote by f_μ its density. Assume that there is a positive constant m such that

$$0 \leq f_\mu(\xi) \leq m, \ \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}.$$

Then the weak Harnack inequality for X holds.

In order to show the theorem, we use the results that follow.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 2), d \geq 2$. There is a constant c_1 such that for every $z \in B_{ar}(x_0)$, the inequality*

$$\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x, z)\,\mathrm{d}x \leq c_1$$

holds, where $1/\lambda < a < 1$.

Proof. By the inequality $p_D \leq p$ and the estimate of the transition density p for small times (see e.g. [8], Theorem 1), it follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x,z)\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \Big[\int_0^\infty p_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(t,x,z)\,\mathrm{d}t \Big]\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\leq \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \Big[\int_0^\infty p(t,x,z)\,\mathrm{d}t \Big]\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\leq c(\alpha,d) \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \Big[\int_0^1 \Big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|x-z|^{\alpha+d}} \Big)\,\mathrm{d}t \Big]\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\quad + \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \Big[\int_1^\infty t^{-d/\alpha} \cdot p(1, t^{-1/\alpha}x, t^{-1/\alpha}z)\,\mathrm{d}t \Big]\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\leq c(\alpha,d) \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \Big[\int_0^{|x-z|^\alpha \wedge 1} \frac{t}{|x-z|^{\alpha+d}}\,\mathrm{d}t \Big]\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\quad + c(\alpha,d) \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \Big[\int_{|x-z|^\alpha \wedge 1}^1 t^{-d/\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}t \Big]\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\quad + c(\alpha,d) \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \Big[\int_1^\infty t^{-d/\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}t \Big]\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\leq c(\alpha,d) \Big[\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} |x-z|^{\alpha-d}\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{\alpha}{d-\alpha} \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \Big[(|x-z|^\alpha \wedge 1)^{\frac{\alpha-d}{\alpha}} - 1 \Big]\,\mathrm{d}x \Big] \\
&\quad + c(\alpha,d)\,\frac{\alpha}{d-\alpha}\,\frac{|B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)|}{|B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)|} \\
&\leq c(\alpha,d,r,\lambda).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\alpha \in (0,2), d \geq 2$. There exist $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\alpha,d) > 0$ and $c_2 = c_2(\alpha,d,\delta_1)$ such that for every $\bar{x} \in B_{r/\theta}(x_0)$ and every $z \in B(\bar{x},\delta_1)$ the inequality*

$$G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},z) \geq c_2$$

holds.

Proof. Using

$$G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},z) = G(\bar{x},z) - \mathbb{E}^{\bar{x}}[G(X_{\tau_{B_{ar}(x_0)}},z)], \tag{2.2}$$

in order to prove the lemma, we compute the estimates for $G(\bar{x},z)$ from below and the estimates for $\mathbb{E}^{\bar{x}}[G(X_{\tau_{B_{ar}(x_0)}},z)]$ from above. Using the heat kernel estimates for small times

([8], Theorem 1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{\bar{x}}[G(X_{\tau_{B_{ar}(x_0)}}, z)]\\
&= \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} G(u,z) P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&= \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\Big[\int_0^\infty p(t,u,z)\, \mathrm{d}t\Big]\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&= \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\Big[\int_0^1 p(t,u,z)\, \mathrm{d}t\Big]\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&\ + \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\Big[\int_1^\infty p(t,u,z)\, \mathrm{d}t\Big]\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&\le c(\alpha,d)\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\Big[\int_0^1 \Big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|u-z|^{\alpha+d}}\Big)\, \mathrm{d}t\Big]\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&+ \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\Big[\int_1^\infty t^{-d/\alpha} \cdot p(1, t^{-1/\alpha}u, t^{-1/\alpha}z)\, \mathrm{d}t\Big]\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&\le c(\alpha,d)\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\Big[\int_0^1 \Big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|u-z|^{\alpha+d}}\Big)\, \mathrm{d}t\Big]\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&+ c(\alpha,d)\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\Big[\int_1^\infty t^{-d/\alpha}\, \mathrm{d}t\Big]\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&\le c(\alpha,d)\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\Big[\int_0^1 \Big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|u-z|^{\alpha+d}}\Big)\, \mathrm{d}t\Big]\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&+ c(\alpha,d). \qquad (2.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

Examining the integral in (2.3) more closely, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\Big[\int_0^1 \Big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|u-z|^{\alpha+d}}\Big)\, \mathrm{d}t\Big]\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&= \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\mathbf{1}_{\{|z-u|<1\}}(u)\Big[\int_0^1 \Big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|u-z|^{\alpha+d}}\Big)\, \mathrm{d}t\Big]\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&+ \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, u)\mathbf{1}_{\{|z-u|>1\}}(u)\Big[\int_0^1 \Big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|u-z|^{\alpha+d}}\Big)\, \mathrm{d}t\Big]\, \mathrm{d}u\\
&= I_1 + I_2. \qquad (2.4)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}}(\bar{x},u)\mathbf{1}_{\{|z-u|<1\}}(u) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \Big[\int_0^1 \Big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|u-z|^{\alpha+d}}\Big)\,\mathrm{d}t\Big]\,\mathrm{d}u \\
&= \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},u)\mathbf{1}_{\{|z-u|<1\}}(u)|z-u|^{-\alpha-d}\Big[\int_0^{|z-u|^\alpha} t\,\mathrm{d}t\Big]\,\mathrm{d}u \\
&+ \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},u)\mathbf{1}_{\{|z-u|<1\}}(u)\Big[\int_{|z-u|^\alpha}^1 t^{-d/\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}t\Big]\,\mathrm{d}u \\
&= c_1\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},u)\mathbf{1}_{\{|z-u|<1\}}(u)|z-u|^{\alpha-d}\,\mathrm{d}u \\
&+ c_2\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},u)\mathbf{1}_{\{|z-u|<1\}}(u)\frac{\alpha}{d-\alpha}(|z-u|^{\alpha-d}-1)\,\mathrm{d}u \\
&\le c_1(\alpha,d,\bar{\delta}_1,a,r), \qquad (2.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last inequality we used $z \in B(\bar{x},\bar{\delta}_1)$, for $\bar{\delta}_1 > 0$ small enough.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},u)\mathbf{1}_{\{|z-u|>1\}}(u) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \Big[\int_0^1 \Big(t^{-d/\alpha} \wedge \frac{t}{|u-z|^{\alpha+d}}\Big)\,\mathrm{d}t\Big]\,\mathrm{d}u \\
&= \int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},u)\mathbf{1}_{\{|z-u|>1\}}(u)|z-u|^{-\alpha-d}\Big[\int_0^1 t\,\mathrm{d}t\Big]\,\mathrm{d}u \\
&= c\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)^c} P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},u)\mathbf{1}_{\{|z-u|>1\}}(u)|z-u|^{-\alpha-d}\,\mathrm{d}u \\
&\le c_2. \qquad (2.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

In conclusion, by (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\bar{x}}[G(X_{\tau_{B_{ar}(x_0)}},z)] \le \bar{c}_1(\alpha,d,\bar{\delta}_1,a,r), \tag{2.7}
$$

for all $z \in B(\bar{x},\bar{\delta}_1)$ and $\bar{\delta}_1 > 0$ small enough.

To estimate $G(\bar{x},z)$ from below, we use the continuity of the potential density (cf. [9]).

Due to

$$
p(1,0) \ge c,
$$

by continuity of $p(1,\cdot)$ in $x=0$, there is $R>0$ such that $p(1,x) > \frac{1}{2}\cdot p(1,0)$, for all $|x|<R$.

Furthermore, for $|\xi| = 1$, since:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(0,\xi) &\ge \int_{R^{-\alpha}}^\infty p(t,\xi)\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&= \int_{R^{-\alpha}}^\infty t^{-d/\alpha}\cdot p\Big(1,\frac{\xi}{t^{1/\alpha}}\Big)\,\mathrm{d}t > \frac{1}{2}\cdot\int_{R^{-\alpha}}^\infty t^{-d/\alpha}\cdot p(1,0)\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&= c_1(\alpha,d) > 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
G(0,\xi) \ge c_1(\alpha,d). \tag{2.8}
$$

For $|x| \neq 0$, by scaling and (2.8)

$$G(0,x) = |x|^{\alpha-d} \cdot G\Big(0, \frac{x}{|x|}\Big) \geq c_1 \cdot |x|^{\alpha-d}.$$

Therefore,

$$G(\bar{x}, z) \geq \bar{c}_2 \cdot |\bar{x} - z|^{\alpha-d}. \tag{2.9}$$

Now, choose δ_1 such that $\delta_1 < (\bar{c}_2/(\bar{c}_1+c))^{\frac{1}{d-\alpha}} \wedge \bar{\delta}_1$, where $c > 0$. Then for every $\bar{x} \in B_{r/\theta}(x_0)$ and $z \in B(\bar{x}, \delta_1)$, combining (2.2), (2.7) and (2.9), we obtain

$$G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, z) \geq \bar{c}_2 \cdot |\bar{x} - z|^{\alpha-d} - \bar{c}_1 \geq c > 0.$$

Define $c_2 = c$ and now the statement follows. □

Remark 2.5. Notice that, according to the Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, there are $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(\alpha, d)$ and $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\alpha, d)$ such that for every $\bar{x} \in B_{r/\theta}(x_0)$ and for every $z \in B(\bar{x}, \delta_1)$ the inequality

$$\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x, z)\, \mathrm{d}x \leq \tilde{c} \cdot G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, z)$$

holds.

Lemma 2.6. *For $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $d \geq 2$, let $\delta_1 > 0$ be as in Lemma 2.4. There is a constant $c_3 = c_3(\alpha, d)$ such that for every $\bar{x} \in B_{r/\theta}(x_0)$ and every $\tilde{u} \in B_{ar}(x_0) \setminus B(\bar{x}, \delta_1)$ the inequality*

$$\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x, \tilde{u})\, \mathrm{d}x \leq c_3 \cdot G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, \tilde{u})$$

holds.

Proof. The proof relies on the maximum principle (cf. [5]). We use the fact that $G_D(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ is regular harmonic in $D \setminus B(\bar{x}, \varepsilon)$ with respect to X for every $\varepsilon > 0$ (cf. [1]).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x,\tilde{u})\,\mathrm{d}x &= \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \mathbb{E}^{\tilde{u}}[G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x, X_{\tau_{B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1)}})]\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus(B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1))} \\
&\qquad\qquad G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x,z)\; P_{B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1)}(\tilde{u},z)\,\mathrm{d}z \Big]\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus(B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1))} \Big[\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \\
&\qquad\qquad G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x,z)\; P_{B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1)}(\tilde{u},z)\,\mathrm{d}x \Big]\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&= \int_{B(\bar{x},\delta_1)} \Big[\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \\
&\qquad\qquad G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x,z)\; P_{B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1)}(\tilde{u},z)\,\mathrm{d}x \Big]\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus B_{ar}(x_0)} \Big[\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \\
&\qquad\qquad G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x,z)\; P_{B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1)}(\tilde{u},z)\,\mathrm{d}x \Big]\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&= \int_{B(\bar{x},\delta_1)} \Big[\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \\
&\qquad\qquad G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x,z)\; P_{B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1)}(\tilde{u},z)\,\mathrm{d}x \Big]\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\overset{Rem.2.5}{\leq} \tilde{c} \int_{B(\bar{x},\delta_1)} G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},z) P_{B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1)}(\tilde{u},z)\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&= \tilde{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus(B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1))} G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},z) P_{B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1)}(\tilde{u},z)\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&= \tilde{c}\cdot \mathbb{E}^{\tilde{u}}[G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, X_{\tau_{B_{ar}(x_0)\setminus B(\bar{x},\delta_1)}})] \\
&= \tilde{c}\cdot G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x},\tilde{u}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $c_3 = \tilde{c}$ and the lemma follows. □

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $u \geq 0$ and $1/\lambda < a < 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} u(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \qquad (2.10)\\
&= \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \mathbb{E}^x[u(X_{\tau_{B_{ar}(x_0)}})]\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \Big[\int_{(\bar{B}_{ar}(x_0))^c} u(y) P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}y \Big]\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} \Big[\int_{(\bar{B}_{ar}(x_0))^c} u(y) \Big[\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)} f_\nu(y-z)\; G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x,z)\,\mathrm{d}z \Big]\,\mathrm{d}y \Big]\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \int_{(\bar{B}_{ar}(x_0))^c} u(y) \Big[\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)} f_\nu(y-z)\; \Big[\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(x,z)\,\mathrm{d}x \Big]\,\mathrm{d}z \Big]\,\mathrm{d}y \qquad (2.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Remark 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 there is a constant c such that for every $\bar{x} \in B_{r/\theta}(x_0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad \leq\ & c \cdot \int_{(\bar{B}_{ar}(x_0))^c} u(y) \Big[\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)} \mathbf{1}_{B(\bar{x},\delta_1)}(z) \\
& \qquad\qquad f_\nu(y-z) \cdot G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, z)\, \mathrm{d}z \Big]\, \mathrm{d}y \\
& + c \cdot \int_{(\bar{B}_{ar}(x_0))^c} u(y) \Big[\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)} \mathbf{1}_{B(\bar{x},\delta_1)^c}(z) \\
& \qquad\qquad f_\nu(y-z) \cdot G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, z)\, \mathrm{d}z \Big]\, \mathrm{d}y \\
& = c \cdot \int_{(\bar{B}_{ar}(x_0))^c} u(y) \Big[\int_{B_{ar}(x_0)} f_\nu(y-z)\, G_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, z)\, \mathrm{d}z \Big]\, \mathrm{d}y \\
& = c \cdot \int_{(\bar{B}_{ar}(x_0))^c} u(y) P_{B_{ar}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, y)\, \mathrm{d}y \\
& = c \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\bar{x}}[u(X_{\tau_{B_{ar}(x_0)}})] \\
& = c \cdot u(\bar{x}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the inequality

$$
⨍_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} u(x)\, \mathrm{d}x \leq c \cdot u(\bar{x}),
$$

holds for any $\bar{x} \in B_{r/\theta}(x_0)$, we obtain

$$
⨍_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} u(x)\, \mathrm{d}x \leq c \inf_{B_{r/\theta}(x_0)} u.
$$

Therefore, the theorem is proved for non-negative functions u.

Let $u = u^+ - u^-$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^+ &= \max\{u, 0\}, \\
u^- &= -\min\{u, 0\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the first part of the proof,

$$
\begin{aligned}
⨍_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} u(x)\, \mathrm{d}x &\leq ⨍_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} u^+\, \mathrm{d}x \\
&\leq c \cdot u^+(\bar{x}) \\
&= c \cdot (u(\bar{x}) + u^-(\bar{x})), \qquad (2.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\bar{x} \in B_{r/\theta}(x_0)$. Set $c_0 = r/2\theta$. Since u^- is harmonic in $B_{r/\theta}(x_0)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^-(\bar{x}) &= \mathbb{E}^{\bar{x}}[u^-(X_{\tau_{B_{c_0}(x_0)}})] \\
&= \int_{(B_{c_0}(x_0))^c} u^-(y) P_{B_{c_0}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, y)\, \mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int_{(B_{c_0}(x_0))^c} u^-(y) \int_{B_{c_0}(x_0)} G_{B_{c_0}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, v) f_\nu(y-v)\, \mathrm{d}v\, \mathrm{d}y \\
&= \int_{B_{c_0}(x_0)} G_{B_{c_0}(x_0)}(\bar{x}, v) \int_{(B_{c_0}(x_0))^c} u^-(y) f_\nu(y-v)\, \mathrm{d}y\, \mathrm{d}v. \qquad (2.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

Examining the inner integral in (2.13) more closely, it follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(B_{c_0}(x_0))^c} u^-(y) f_\nu(y-v)\,\mathrm{d}y &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^-(y) f_\nu(y-v)\,\mathrm{d}y\\ &\le \sup_{z\in B_{r/\sigma}(x_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^-(y) f_\nu(y-z)\,\mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(2.13) &\le \int_{B_{c_0}(x_0)} G_{B_{c_0}(x_0)}(\bar{x},v) \sup_{z\in B_{r/\sigma}(x_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^-(y) f_\nu(y-z)\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}v\\ &= \int_{B_{c_0}(x_0)} G_{B_{c_0}(x_0)}(\bar{x},v)\,\mathrm{d}v \cdot \sup_{z\in B_{r/\sigma}(x_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^-(y) f_\nu(y-z)\,\mathrm{d}y\\ &\le c\cdot \sup_{z\in B_{r/\sigma}(x_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^-(y) f_\nu(y-z)\,\mathrm{d}y.\end{aligned}$$

To conclude,

$$u^-(\bar{x}) \le c\cdot \sup_{z\in B_{r/\sigma}(x_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^-(y) f_\nu(y-z)\,\mathrm{d}y \tag{2.14}$$

holds, for any $\bar{x} \in B_{r/\theta}(x_0)$. Therefore, (2.12) yields

$$\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} u(x)\,\mathrm{d}x - cu^-(\bar{x}) \le c \inf_{B_{r/\theta}(x_0)} u,$$

from where we obtain

$$\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} u(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \le c \inf_{B_{r/\theta}(x_0)} u + cu^-(\bar{x}),$$

which, together with (2.14), implies

$$\fint_{B_{r/\lambda}(x_0)} u(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \le c \inf_{B_{r/\theta}(x_0)} u + c\cdot \sup_{z\in B_{r/\sigma}(x_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^-(y) f_\nu(y-z)\,\mathrm{d}y,$$

and hence the theorem. □

3. HÖLDER REGULARITY ESTIMATES

Theorem 3.1. *Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, d \ge 2, r_0 > 0$ and $\theta > \lambda > 1, 2\theta > \sigma > 1$. Let X be a symmetric α-stable Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^d$, with index of stability $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and the characteristic function of the form*

$$\mathbb{E}^0 e^{iu\cdot X_t} = e^{-t\Phi(u)}, \ \ u \in \mathbb{R}^d,\ t \ge 0,$$

where the characteristic exponent is given by

$$\Phi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |u\cdot\xi|^\alpha \mu(\mathrm{d}\xi),$$

and μ is a spectral measure. Furthermore, let μ be absolutely continuous with respect to the uniform measure σ on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and denote by f_μ its density. Assume that there is a positive constant m such that

$$0 \le f_\mu(\xi) \le m,\ \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}.$$

Then there exists $\beta \in (0,1)$ *such that for every bounded function* u *on* $\mathbb{R}^d$*, which is harmonic in* $B_r(x_0)$ *with respect to* X*,* $0 < r \le r_0$*, and non-negative on* $B_r(x_0)$

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \le c\,\|u\|_\infty \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho}\right)^{\beta},$$

for almost all $x, y \in B_\rho(x_0)$*,* $\rho \in (0, r/2)$*.*

Proof. For $r > 0$, denote $B_r := B_r(x_0), x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let c_1 be the constant from the Theorem 2.2, $r \in (0, r_0)$. Set

$$\kappa := (4c_1)^{-1},$$
$$\beta := \log\left(\frac{2}{2-\kappa}\right)/\log(\theta).$$

Furthermore, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set $M_0 := \|u\|_\infty, M_{-n} := M_0, m_0 := \inf_{\mathbb{R}^d} u, m_{-n} := m_0$. We construct an increasing sequence (m_n) and a decreasing sequence (M_n) such that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &m_n \le u(z) \le M_n, \text{ for almost all } z \in B_{r\theta^{-n}}, \\ &M_n - m_n = K\theta^{-n\beta}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $K = M_0 - m_0 \in [0, 2\|u\|_\infty]$.

In the case $|x - y| \ge \rho$, the theorem holds true. Let us examine the case

$$|x - y| < \rho. \tag{3.2}$$

Choose $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\rho \cdot \theta^{-j-1} \le |x-y| \le \rho\theta^{-j}.$$

From (3.1) we obtain that for almost all $x, y \in B_\rho(x_0)$

$$\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^\beta} \le \frac{osc_{B_{\rho\theta^{-j}}(x)} u}{|x-y|^\beta} \le K\left(\frac{\theta^{-j}}{|x-y|}\right)^{\beta} \le K\theta^\beta\rho^{-\beta},$$

which proves the theorem.

Assume that there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and that there are m_n, M_n such that (3.1) holds for $n \le k-1$. We construct m_k and M_k such that (3.1) holds for $n = k$.

Define

$$v(z) = \left(u(z) - \frac{m_{k-1} + M_{k-1}}{2}\right)\frac{2\theta^{(k-1)\beta}}{K},\ z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

From here we have

$$|v(z)| \le 1, \text{ for almost all } z \in B_{r\theta^{-(k-1)}}.$$

We show that Theorem 2.2 implies either

$$v(z) \le 1 - \kappa,\ z \in B_{r\theta^{-k}}$$

or

$$v(z) \ge -1 + \kappa,\ z \in B_{r\theta^{-k}}.$$

Let us consider two cases:

$$|\{x \in B_{r\theta^{-(k-1)}/\lambda} : v(x) \le 0\}| \ge 1/2|B_{r\theta^{-(k-1)}/\lambda}|, \tag{3.3}$$

$$|\{x \in B_{r\theta^{-(k-1)}/\lambda} : v(x) > 0\}| \ge 1/2|B_{r\theta^{-(k-1)}/\lambda}|. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be such that $|z - x_0| \geq r\theta^{-(k-1)}$. There exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with the property

$$r\theta^{-(k-j)} \leq |z - x_0| \leq r\theta^{-(k-j-1)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{K}{2\theta^{(k-1)\beta}} v(z) &= u(z) - \frac{m_{k-1} + M_{k-1}}{2} \\
&\leq M_{k-(j+1)} - m_{k-(j+1)} + m_{k-(j+1)} - \frac{m_{k-1} + M_{k-1}}{2} \\
&\leq M_{k-(j+1)} - m_{k-(j+1)} + m_{k-1} - \frac{m_{k-1} + M_{k-1}}{2} \\
&= M_{k-(j+1)} - m_{k-(j+1)} - \frac{M_{k-1} - m_{k-1}}{2} \\
&\leq K\theta^{-(k-j-1)\beta} - \frac{K}{2}\theta^{-(k-1)\beta} \\
&= K\theta^{-(k-1)\beta}(\theta^{j\beta} - 1/2) \\
&= \frac{K}{2}\theta^{-(k-1)\beta}(2\theta^{j\beta} - 1),
\end{aligned}$$

from where we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
v(z) &\leq 2\theta^{j\beta} - 1 \\
&\leq 2\left(\theta \cdot \frac{|z - x_0|}{r\theta^{-(k-1)}}\right)^{\beta} - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the second inequality follows from (3.5). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{K}{2\theta^{(k-1)\beta}} v(z) &= u(z) - \frac{m_{k-1} + M_{k-1}}{2} \\
&\geq m_{k-(j+1)} - M_{k-(j+1)} + M_{k-(j+1)} - \frac{m_{k-1} + M_{k-1}}{2} \\
&\geq m_{k-(j+1)} - M_{k-(j+1)} + M_{k-1} - \frac{m_{k-1} + M_{k-1}}{2} \\
&= -(M_{k-(j+1)} - m_{k-(j+1)}) + \frac{M_{k-1} - m_{k-1}}{2} \\
&\geq -K\theta^{-(k-j-1)\beta} + \frac{K}{2}\theta^{-(k-1)\beta} \\
&= K\theta^{-(k-1)\beta}(1/2 - \theta^{j\beta}) \\
&= \frac{K}{2}\theta^{-(k-1)\beta}(1 - 2\theta^{j\beta}),
\end{aligned}$$

from where we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
v(z) &\geq 1 - 2\theta^{j\beta} \\
&\geq 1 - 2\left(\theta \cdot \frac{|z - x_0|}{r\theta^{-(k-1)}}\right)^{\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the second inequality follows from (3.5).

In the case (3.3), we wish to show $v(z) \le 1-\kappa$, for almost all $z \in B_{r\theta^{-k}}$ and some $\kappa \in (0,1)$, since then

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) &\le \frac{K(1-\kappa)}{2}\theta^{-(k-1)\beta} + \frac{m_{k-1}+M_{k-1}}{2} \\ &= \frac{K(1-\kappa)}{2}\theta^{-(k-1)\beta} + \frac{M_{k-1}-m_{k-1}}{2} + m_{k-1} \\ &= m_{k-1} + \frac{K(1-\kappa)}{2}\theta^{-(k-1)\beta} + \frac{K}{2}\theta^{-(k-1)\beta} \\ &\le m_{k-1} + K\theta^{-k\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we set

$$\begin{aligned} m_k &= m_{k-1} \\ M_k &= m_k + K\theta^{-k\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

and obtain that for almost all $z \in B_{r\theta^{-k}}$

$$m_k \le u(z) \le M_k.$$

Define $w = 1 - v$. The Theorem 2.2 yields

$$\fint_{B_{r\theta^{-(k-1)}/\lambda}} w(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \le c_1 \inf_{B_{r\theta^{-k}}} w + c_1 \sup_{x\in B_{r\theta^{-(k-1)}/\sigma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^-(z) f_v(x-z)\,\mathrm{d}z \tag{3.6}$$

In the case (3.3), the left-hand side of (3.6) is bounded below by $1/2$.

Denote by $A_{r,R} = B_R(x_0) \setminus B_r(x_0)$, $r \le R$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{B_{r\theta^{-k}}} w &\ge \frac{1}{2c_1} - \sup_{x\in B_{r\theta^{-(k-1)}/\sigma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^-(z) f_v(x-z)\,\mathrm{d}z \\ &\ge \frac{1}{2c_1} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sup_{x\in B_{r\theta^{-(k-1)}/\sigma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{1}_{A_{r\theta^{-(k-j)},r\theta^{-(k-j-1)}}} w^-(z) f_v(x-z)\,\mathrm{d}z \\ &\ge \frac{1}{2c_1} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2\theta^{j\beta} - 2)\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta = \sup_{x\in B_{r\theta^{-(k-1)}/\sigma}} \int_{A_{r\theta^{-(k-j)},r\theta^{-(k-j-1)}}} f_v(x-z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Since

$$\eta \le c \cdot \zeta^{-j-1}, \zeta > 1, c > 0,$$

it follows

$$\inf_{B_{r\theta^{-k}}} w \ge \frac{1}{2c_1} - 2c\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\theta^{j\beta} - 1)\zeta^{-j-1}.$$

Note that for $\beta > 0$ small enough

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \theta^{j\beta}\zeta^{-j-1} < \infty,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{j=l+1}^{\infty}(\theta^{j\beta}-1)\zeta^{-j-1} \leq \sum_{j=l+1}^{\infty}\theta^{j\beta}\zeta^{-j-1} \leq 1/(16c_1),$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Given $l \in \mathbb{N}$, if needed, choose smaller $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l}(\theta^{j\beta}-1)\zeta^{-j-1} \leq 1/(16c_1).$$

Therefore, $w \geq \kappa$ on $B_{r\theta^{-k}}$, which implies $v \leq 1-\kappa$ on $B_{r\theta^{-k}}$. In the case (3.4), we aim to show $v(x) \geq -1+\kappa$. To this end, set $w = 1+v$. Following the previous strategy, one sets

$$\begin{aligned} M_k &= M_{k-1}, \\ m_k &= M_k - K \cdot \theta^{-k\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. □

References

1. K. Bogdan, *The boundary Harnack principle for the fractional Laplacian*, Studia Math. 123 (1997), no.1, 43–80. MR 1438304 (98g:31005)
2. B. Dyda and M. Kassmann, *Comparability and regularity estimates for symmetric nonlocal Dirichlet forms*, SFB Preprint 11087 (2011)
3. N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *On some relations between the harmonic measure and the Lévy measure for a certain class of Markov processes*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 2 (1962), 79–95. MR 0142153 (25 #5546)
4. M. Kassmann, *A priori estimates for integro-differential operators with measurable kernels*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 34, no.1, (2009), 1-21. MR2448308 (2010b:35474)
5. N. S. Landkof, *Foundations of modern potential theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Anwendungsgebiete ; 180, vol. 180, Springer, Berlin [u.a.], 1972.
6. K. Sato, *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*, digital print. ed., Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics; 68, vol. 68, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge [u.a.], 2007 (eng).
7. M. Sertic, *Harnack Inequalities for Symmetric Stable Levy Processes*, arXiv Preprint 1503.05119 (2015)
8. P. Sztonyk, *Estimates of tempered stable densities*, J. Theoret. Probab. 23 (2010), no. 1, 127–147. MR 2591907 (2011b:60183)
9. S. J. Taylor, *Sample path properties of a transient stable process*, J. Math. Mech. 16 (1967), 1229–1246. MR 0208684 (34 #8493)

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Universitätsstrasse 25, 33615 Bielefeld
E-mail address: `msertic@math.uni-bielefeld.de`